

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary

Semester: Spring 2018

Course Owner: All

Courses:

- ECON 1110
 - DIS 210
 - DIS 211

Cornell University
 Course Evaluation Response Summary
 Semester: Spring 2018 Course Owner: All
 Course: N/A CID: N/A
 Title: N/A
 Instructor: N/A
 37 Responses, 91 Enrolled, 40.66% Response

The following questions are to be answered using a 5 point scale, where "1" and "5" will be defined and "3" always stands for the midpoint. For example, if a course is slightly below the midpoint in a given aspect, mark "2" for that item. Only one response is allowed for each question.

RATING SCALE 1= Defined extreme

2=

3= Midpoint

4=

5= Defined extreme

Question	Mean	StDevP	Count	1	2	3	4	5
N01. Do you feel that the TA chose material that was valuable and appropriate? 1 = inappropriate, e.g., too difficult, too easy 5 = just right	4.47	0.93	32	1	1	1	8	21
N02. How well was the TA's presentation of material organized? 1 = congested; disorganized 5 = clear; concise	4.38	0.92	32	1	1	1	11	18
N03. Was there ample opportunity to ask questions in sections? 1 = no opportunity 5 = ample chance	4.41	0.93	32	1	1	1	10	19
N04. Was the TA willing to provide help for the students who needed it? 1 = seemed unwilling to help 5 = seemed interested in being helpful	4.44	0.96	32	1	1	2	7	21
N05. Was the TA able to explain difficult material clearly and concisely? 1 = not at all 5 = extremely well	4.38	0.73	32	0	1	2	13	16
N06. How much did you learn from the discussion sections? 1 = nothing 5 = a great deal	4.03	1.01	32	0	2	10	5	15
N07. Did the TA hold regular office hours and make accommodations for those students who could not come at the scheduled times? 1 = missed office hours regularly and was not accommodating to students with conflicts 5 = held regular office hours and was accommodating to students with time conflicts	4.45	0.76	29	0	1	2	9	17
N08. The TA deserves an overall rating of: 1 = a very poor TA 5 = an excellent TA	4.41	0.74	32	0	1	2	12	17

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2018 Course Owner: All
Course: N/A CID: N/A
Title: N/A
Instructor: N/A
37 Responses, 91 Enrolled, 40.66% Response

C01. What do you feel was the most valued part of the sections?

3367. The handouts and concise reviews

6571. The TA did a very good job of explaining the definitions for the course.

6348. Nikolai Boboshko did a great job of explaining the confusing aspects of the week's course material. Being that Professor Wissink deemed that sections would not introduce new material, Boboshko did exactly what was expected of him.

33193. questions

33169. I think that the overview of the major topics covered that week in lecture was extremely helping. I did a large part of my learning in discussion.

33250. Worksheets and what was went over in lectures

6345. I enjoyed the brief reviews of the topics we would be covering in that day's section, as it got me thinking about that material and how best to answer questions on that topic.

27003. I appreciated how he printed the discussion outlines for us and was willing to answer all questions.

30022. How he went over actual problems we would see.

23397. This wasn't my TA

33222. Going through concepts that were breezed through in class, and following up with questions.

36025. The handouts

38181. The fact that the sections go over problems which use what was learned in class, and the similarity of these problems to material covered on the exams, is very useful and was the best part of the sections as a whole.

38195. advice for exams

30336. The opportunity to ask questions.

10030. the practice problem sets from previous exams

3409. Going over the sheet with past exam questions was super helpful to understanding course material and for more complicated portions actually going over the concept again

30393. past exam questions

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2018 Course Owner: All
Course: N/A CID: N/A
Title: N/A
Instructor: N/A
37 Responses, 91 Enrolled, 40.66% Response

C02. What do you feel is the least valued part of the sections?

3367. N/A

6571. All of the parts of section were valuable.

33169. Nothing

33193. waiting for students.

6345. I think all of section was fairly valuable.

27003. none.

30022. I don't think there was one.

23397. This wasn't my TA

33222. None, everything was valuable.

36025. None

38181. Sometimes, the material covered in section was covered too quickly, or too much material was scheduled to be covered in a given day, so improvement on this front would be welcome.

38195. handouts were pulled from course website so content of discussion wasn't very useful

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2018 Course Owner: All
Course: N/A CID: N/A
Title: N/A
Instructor: N/A
37 Responses, 91 Enrolled, 40.66% Response

C03. What improvements (if any) should be made to the sections?

3367. N/A

6571. No improvements need to be made.

6348. I think the instructor did a great job with his sections. He chose relevant exam/problem set questions from previous years and explained the concisely.

33193. better TA

6345. I think the sections are pretty good as they are.

27003. none.

30022. There are no improvements to be made.

23397. This wasn't my TA

33222. Make them Longer! Nikolai was great, but there is only so much he can do in 45 minutes. The recitations should be double that time since there are many complex concepts in class that need a detailed explanation in the recitation, followed by questions.

36025. None

38195. there were a lot of interruptions in discussion, though not at the fault of the TA, howevver they did lead to a slower less production session

30336. The TA should give students more time to work independently before going over the problems as a class. I found it hard to pay attention in the recitations because I wanted to work on problems, not watch them solved.

3409. Set out worksheet before hand if people want to try to work through them on their own

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2018 Course Owner: All
Course: N/A CID: N/A
Title: N/A
Instructor: N/A
37 Responses, 91 Enrolled, 40.66% Response

C04. General critique

3367. Host later in the day

33169. Nikolai was a great discussion instructor. He was always very prepared and able to answer any questions the class had. He always explained things very concisely and this made it possible to succeed on the exams. He gave students time to ask questions and always maintained a good pace so as not to rush anyone.

33193. None.

6345. I enjoyed that we went over old prelim problems. Nikolai would also go over the wrong answers and disprove them, which was helpful as well.

27003. very helpful!

30022. I think he was a good TA who taught well.

23397. This wasn't my TA

33222. Excellent TA!

36025. Great recitations.

38195. not too useful. didn't feel necessary to do well in the class

40043. Good job!

3409. Super helpful to the course
